

**RINGMER PARISH COUNCIL
MINUTES**

**Minutes of Ringmer Parish Council Meeting
Held on Thursday 24th June 2021 at 7.00pm**

PRESENT: Cllr Tara Barber, Cllr Margaret Crompton, Cllr Johnny Denis, Cllr Clare Herbert, Cllr John Kay, Cllr Gavin May, Cllr Marcia Morgan, Cllr Sarah Phillips (acting chair), Cllr David Terry, Cllr Richard Turner & Cllr John Whitlock

Also in attendance: Karen Crowhurst – Clerk/RFO
Gail Metcalfe – Administrator

Members of the Public: 4

Agenda Items

1. TO RECEIVE AND ACCEPT APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

1.1 Apologies were received from Cllr Gordon Sims (Chairman). Cllr Sarah Phillips acted as Chairman for the meeting in his absence.

2. DECLARATIONS OF MEMBERS' INTERESTS AND DISPENSATION REQUESTS

2.1 Cllr Kay declared an interest in Ringmer Area Community Land Trust and CPRE. Cllr May declared an interest in planning application LW/21/0302 and Ringmer Area Community Land Trust. Cllr Terry declared an interest in Ringmer Area Community Land Trust and Cllr Turner declared an interest in Planning Application LW/21/0302 and Ringmer Area Community Land Trust.

3. TO ACCEPT THE MINUTES FROM THE ANNUAL STATUTORY COUNCIL MEETING HELD ON 6TH MAY 2021

3.1 It was **resolved** to accept the minutes from the Annual Statutory Council meeting held on 6th May 2021. The

4. PUBLIC QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS

This item shall last not more than 15 minutes or at Chairman's discretion and no contribution shall last more than 3 minutes.

4.1 At 7.07pm the Chair invited the Meeting to suspend Standing Orders and asked for questions or comments from members of the public present.

4.2 Mr Ben Ellis of Bedford Park Developments gave a brief presentation on planning application **LW/21/0302**. He explained that they wished to work with the Village to get the right development in the right place. He said they were not looking to challenge the Neighbourhood Plan but to work with it and wished to provide the Village with community assets.

4.3 A member of the public wished to express her opposition to this planning application stating that the Neighbourhood Plan was still valid and should be adhered to.

4.4 At 7.11pm Standing Orders were reinstated.

4.5 At this point the Vice Chairman motioned planning application **LW/21/0302** be brought forward so that Mr Ben Ellis could leave the meeting after it had been discussed. All members agreed with the motion; therefore, the motion was carried.

- 4.6 At 7.12pm Cllrs May and Turner left the meeting.
- 4.7 At 7.13pm Standing Orders were suspended for Mr Ben Ellis to answer the question of how many units were involved in the project. He said that originally it was 97 units but that this had been brought down to 87 units and that they were flexible on this issue.
- 4.8 At 7.14pm Standing Orders were reinstated.
- 4.9 ***Please see item 11.1***
- 4.10 A vote was taken – 6 voted against, 2 voted in favour and 1 abstained, therefore the planning application was rejected.
- 4.11 At 7.38pm Cllrs May and Turner returned to the meeting.
5. **CO-OPTION OF COUNCILLOR** – To consider any expression of interest in accordance with Parish Council’s Standing Orders.
- 5.1 The Clerk advised Members that no-one had come forward for consideration.
6. **REPORTS FROM DISTRICT AND COUNTY COUNCILLORS – Maximum 15 minutes**
- a) To receive and accept any reports submitted
- 6.1 Cllrs Johnny Denis & Emily O’Brien had provided Councillor updates on East Sussex County Council and Lewes District Council but many had not had time to read it and so it was agreed that the report would be published with the minutes and any questions being emailed to Cllr Denis.
7. **ACTION POINTS AND REPORT FROM THE CLERK**
- 7.1 The Clerk reported that there are no outstanding action points which are not covered on the agenda.
8. **CORRESPONDENCE – FOR NOTING OR ACTION**
- a) To consider any correspondence for noting or action received after this agenda has been published
- 8.1 The Clerk stated that objections received at the office for planning applications LW/21/0302 and LW/20/0485 had been looked at and circulated to all Members.
- 8.2 b) Lewes Council Tax document – 20% reduction scheme
- 8.3 Members agreed they needed more time to digest the document and agreed if there were any comments to be made, they be submitted to the Clerk by 8th July 2021.
9. **APPOINTMENT OF CLLRS**
- 9.1 a) Education and Younger People’s Services Liaison Cllr x 1
- 9.2 Cllr Morgan put her name forward for this role. It was, therefore, **resolved** to appoint Cllr Morgan as the Liaison Cllr.
- 9.3 b) Leisure Services Liaison Cllr x 1
- 9.4 Cllr Morgan put her name forward for this role. It was, therefore, **resolved** to appoint Cllr Morgan as the Liaison Cllr.
- 9.5 c) Lewes District Association of Local Councils Cllr x 2

- 9.6 It was **resolved** to appoint Cllr Sims and Cllr Phillips to act as representation of Ringmer Parish Council.
- 9.7 d) Ringmer Shops Association Liaison Cllr x 1
9.8 It was **resolved** that Cllr May be appointed.
- 9.9 e) Complaints Review Panel Cllr x 1
9.10 This was deferred until after the Greens and Amenities meeting on 1st July 2021 when the Chairman and Vice-Chairman will be elected.

10. **FINANCE MATTERS** **(Papers previously circulated)**

10.1 The Clerk drew attention to a payment to Spotlight HR as some of it has been retained – it was proposed that Council should approve payment subject to the Chairman and Vice-Chairman being satisfied. This was seconded and voted upon, the result of which was unanimous.

10.2 a) **Payments and Receipts**
10.3 It was **resolved** to accept the payments and receipts presented. These were signed and dated by the Chairman of the meeting.(The payments list is appended at the end of these minutes).

10.4 b) **Bank Reconciliation(s)**
10.5 It was **resolved** to accept the bank reconciliations presented. The Chairman signed and dated all the bank reconciliations.

10.6 c) **Bank Summary**
10.7 It was **resolved** to accept the Bank Summary presented.

10.8 d) **Cost Centre Report**
10.9 It was **resolved** to accept the Cost Centre Report. Being the current financial position of Council.

10.10 e) **Quotation(s)**
10.11 There were no quotations for consideration.

10.12 f) **Grant application(s)/Donations:**
10.13 The Chairman proposed that item 3 be considered first in order for Cllrs Kay, May, Terry and Turner to leave the room and return for the next item on the agenda. It was also proposed as Cllr Kay had declared an interest regarding item 5 on the agenda to remain out of the meeting whilst that item was discussed. The proposal was accepted.

10.14 At 7.52pm Cllrs Kay, May, Terry and Turner left the meeting.

10.15 3) **Ringmer Area Community Land Trust £100,000 CIL**
After some discussion. It was **resolved** to award the amount of £100,000 to Ringmer Area Community Land Trust for the affordable housing element of the project. it was further **resolved** to hold the funds in earmarked reserves, being mindful about which financial year the project would move forward it was agreed to make the funds available upon commencement of the project. Payments will be made on receipt of contractor invoices and paid by the office this was voted on with 6 in favour and 1 abstention.

- 10.16 At 8.00pm Cllrs May, Terry and Turner returned to the meeting. Cllr Kay remained out of the room.
- 10.17 5) **CPRE Subscription - £36.00**
 10.18 After a short discussion, this was voted on. All were in favour, therefore, it was agreed to pay the subscription.
- 10.19 At 8.01pm Cllr Kay returned to the meeting.
- 10.20 1) **Wildflower Ringmer £500.00 small grants- Section 137**
 10.21 It was proposed that Ringmer agree to the grant for the full amount requested and this was seconded. A vote was made by a show of hands, the outcome of which was unanimous. Therefore, it was **resolved** to award the amount of £500.00 to Wildflower Ringmer.
- 10.22 2) **Ringmer Bowls Club £7,500.00 CIL**
 10.23 After some discussion, and establishing if the Parish Council had appropriate funds, it was **resolved** to award the amount of £7,500.00 from already earmarked CIL reserves for this type of project. It was further **resolved** to hold the funds in the accounts until the project is ready to commence. Members noted that the financial year could not be predicted, but funds should be readily available. It was then **resolved** that the Parish Council would hold the funds and only release them upon submission of Contractor invoices.
- 10.24 4) **Foundry Healthcare Social Group £500.00 - Section 137**
 10.25 After some discussion, it was agreed that the Parish Council ask for more detail on how the whole project was being funded, as the amount requested was only partial payment, along with detail on what they would be providing to the Village. Once this information was available it would be considered again at a future meeting.
- 10.26 6) **East Sussex Wildlife Rescue Donation choices £25, £50, £100 or another amount**
- 10.27 It was proposed that Ringmer pay the highest amount requested and this was seconded. This was voted on with all being in favour of paying £100.00.
- 10.28 g) **Internal Auditors report – To accept and agree any actions arising from the report**
 10.29 The Financial Administrator was thanked for all her hard work on the audit. It was proposed that the general reserves policy be considered by Office & Finance once the Committee had been agreed and that all actions be carried out. Members agreed to note the report and agree to the actions arising from it.
- 10.30 h) **Any other financial matters that cannot be held over to the next agenda**
 10.31 There were no other financial matters to be considered.

11. PLANNING APPLICATIONS

- 11.1 **LW/21/0302 Land South of Lewes Road and Laughton Road Chamberlaines Lane Ringmer**
 Outline application with all matters reserved for a mixed-use scheme comprising residential units and community/commercial space

11.2 *This item was discussed after item 4 on the agenda-*

11.3 Each and every Member had the opportunity to comment on the application. After some discussion including various objections and comments for support, it was **resolved** to comment as below:

11.4 Ringmer Parish Council strongly objects to this application as (1) it is contrary to the adopted Ringmer Neighbourhood Plan which forms part of the existing Lewes Local Plan and (2) it proposes the development of new commuter housing at a car-dependent countryside location, contrary to the Lewes DC declaration of a Climate Change Emergency. The proposed scheme would be the wrong development at the wrong place, contributing unnecessarily to climate change, and would have, in combination with other development already approved, an unacceptable impact on infrastructure including primary and nursery schools and healthcare facilities. The additional commuter traffic generated would put unacceptable pressure on Earwig Corner.

The Ringmer Neighbourhood Plan is focused on four key principles (Policies 3.1-3.4 of the Ringmer Neighbourhood Plan). These seek to retain Ringmer's 'village feel'; to improve its sustainability by providing more local employment and thus reduce the need for the excessive existing out-commuting for employment, almost all of which is by private car; to improve the balance, health and inclusivity of the community and to respect the South Downs National Park. This application flies directly in the face of all four key principles.

This application envisages yet another large new commuter housing estate unsustainably located in the countryside, unaccompanied by any provision for additional local employment. Out-commuting from Ringmer by private car is already, according to East Sussex in Figure 1, higher than in any other town or parish in the District, and there is absolutely no reason to imagine that the new commuters that would be attracted by this development would be any differently. The location of the proposed development, not contiguous with existing housing and forming a new finger of development pushing out from the edge of the Broyle settlement into the surrounding countryside, and a long and unpleasant walk along a busy main road to Ringmer's shops and services, means that that new residents here would be less likely to use sustainable means of transport than other Ringmer residents.

The location of the proposed development expands out from the Broyleside towards the edge of the South Downs National Park, so the new development would be very visible from high ground within the SDNP. In recommending the dismissal of an appeal for an immediately adjacent site [Broyle Gate Farm, application LW/14/0947, appeal number 3133436] the inspector gave weight to its negative landscape impact, including both its impact on the setting of the SDNP and its erosion of the present sense of clear separation between Ringmer village and the Broyleside, an important contributor to Ringmer's 'village feel', or sense of place. The inspector's view was endorsed by the Secretary of State, who dismissed the case in appeal. Both these considerations apply with equal force to the present application.

The application is also quite excessive in scale, and thus contrary to policy 6.3 of the Ringmer Neighbourhood Plan, which requires new development to be on a village scale of 10-30 new homes. This policy was also given weight by the inspector in appeal 3133436. There was clear evidence that residents strongly preferred multiple smaller developments of this scale (such as the successful new developments at Clarks Croft and Round House Road) than urban-scale developments as proposed here. The site now proposed is substantially larger than even that submitted to last autumn's "Call for Sites" [04RG] and the number of new homes proposed almost twice as large. Nevertheless, even with the enlarged site, it is far from evident that the excessive number of homes proposed could actually be accommodated on the site. A stream that qualifies as a 'main river' flows through the site, and the surrounding land lies in Flood Zones 2/3, but the indicative outline for the development shows this land at risk of flooding the small rear gardens of the new houses.

Ringmer Primary School was recently extended from 1 class to 1.5 class entry to accommodate the increasing numbers of children now living in Ringmer. The Primary School and the Nursery School are both full. Currently more than 200 new houses are under construction (but not occupied) in Ringmer, at the Bovis Homes site on Bishops Lane; the Riverdale Development

site at Caburn Fields; the Optivo site at Lower Lodge; and the Diplocks site on Bishops Lan. Previous experience in Ringmer is that such new housing attracts a disproportionate share of children nursery and primary school age, and assessment by the Neighbourhood Plan suggested that it would be very likely to be necessary to expand the Primary School to 2-classroom entry to accommodate them. However, no such expansion is currently envisaged, and it remains to be seen how well the new children will be accommodated as they arrive over the next 12-18 months. There is no evidence at all how the children from the large additional development proposed in this application could be accommodated, without travel (inevitably by private car) to village schools elsewhere in the county. There are no spare school places available in Lewes.

Other related infrastructure questions not addressed in the application are whether the Ringmer Health Centre can accommodate the extra patients or whether the Ringmer WWT can accommodate the extra sewage to be created within the time scale proposed.

The site lies immediately adjacent to the Southdown Hunt Kennels. The hunt is an important contribution to Ringmer's role as a rural service centre, and includes the Historic England-listed Huntsman's House and additional locally-listed buildings within the curtilage [Ringmer Neighbourhood Plan policy 4.7, heritage assets H11]. The site is currently not screened from the Kennels, and forms part of its setting. The application proposes to mitigate the nuisance of the noise produced on regular occasions by the baying hounds by the erection of an acoustic barrier fence along the site boundary. As can be seen elsewhere in Ringmer, such barrier fences are extremely unattractive. This would have a strongly negative impact on the setting of this listed building and its associated heritage assets. The hounds' kennel is just a few yards from the joint boundary. The proposed development would also have a strongly negative impact on the experience of users of Ringmer public footpath no.20, which runs immediately adjacent to the site.

The Lewes Local Plan allocated 385 new homes to Ringmer, the number being fixed by the inspector at examination after a careful and detailed review of the potential capacity of Earwig Corner, through which almost all commuter traffic from Ringmer must pass. He concluded that this was the maximum number that could reasonably be accommodated, once improvements that were then envisaged and are now under construction had been completed. After hearing the detailed evidence, he concluded that no further housing allocation could be made to Ringmer as no alternative scheme was available that could further increase the capacity of this junction and the subsequent A26 junctions connecting Ringmer to Lewes and the A27 at Southerham. The inspector's statement to this effect is included in the Lewes Local Plan. To date 384 new homes in Ringmer have been built, are currently under construction, or have full planning permission. In addition sites for about 60 further homes are allocated in the Ringmer Neighbourhood Plan, and are still expected to come forward within the plan period (6 are on this week's list). In addition there has been additional unforeseen development at Barcon and Isfield, and a very large new Uckfield development near the A26/A22 junction, that will put yet more pressure on Earwig Corner. There is no credible evidence accompanying the application to suggest that all the additional commuter traffic created by this development can be accommodated by Earwig Corner and the other critical junctions between there and the A27, without causing further congestion and the consequent unacceptably low air quality in the town of Lewes.

11.5 Section 2 of Ringmer Neighbourhood Plan – Vision for Ringmer is as follows:

Development of new and improved employment opportunities in Ringmer Parish will have reduced the need for out-commuting, thereby supporting local services. To enable this, existing employment sites will be maintained and improved, new sites will be identified and established as necessary, and businesses, including working at or from home, will be supported by the provision of modern business accommodation and access to high quality and high-speed e-communications.

- 11.6 As yet there has not been much of an increase for employment opportunities within Ringmer, therefore, the proposed scheme if approved will create more out-commuting.
- 11.17 There is also a need for more educational provision more residential units of family size will increase the need for primary school places, which, the current primary school will not be able to accommodate.
- 11.18 **LW/21/0061 Caburn Field Anchor Field Ringmer East Sussex**
Proposed 3 flagpoles adjacent to proposed sales cabins with fascia signs
- 11.19 **Comments and observations**
Ringmer Parish Council offers no comments on this application, since it was reported that the portacabin referred to in the application has been removed.
- 11.20 **LW/21/0239 12 Harrisons Lane Ringmer East Sussex BN8 5LL**
Single storey extension to the rear of the property
- 11.21 **Comments and observations**
Ringmer Parish Council supports this application.
- 11.23 **LW/21/0258 The Mill House Rushey Green Ringmer East Sussex BN8 5JB**
Replacement outbuilding to rear garden
- 11.24 **Comments and observations**
Ringmer Parish Council does not have any objections to this application.
- 11.25 **LW/21/0289 7 Anchor Field Ringmer East Sussex BN8 5QN**
Proposed ground level extension and loft conversion of existing house, plus two new houses to be built in the garden
- 11.26 **Comments and observations**
Ringmer Parish Council strongly objects to the proposed extension and loft conversion, plus two new houses to be built in the garden for the following reasons:
- Significant overdevelopment of the site
 - Impact on the street scene
 - Parking provision not being in accordance with Ringmer Neighbourhood Plan Policy 8.3
- 11.27 **LW/21/0292 Barnfield Farm Laughton Road Ringmer East Sussex BN8 5NJ**
Conversion of a redundant stables to provide office and store with off road parking, creation of a new building to provide 2 x 1 bed holiday apartments with additional parking
- 11.28 **Comments and observations**
Ringmer Parish Council supports this application as long as appropriate planning conditions imposed are met.
- 11.29 **LW/21/0335 Red Barn Farm Harveys Lane Ringmer East Sussex BN8 5AE**
Alternative scheme for the conversion of a redundant agricultural building into house by adding a conservatory and two rooflights also the restoration and conversion of outbuilding to a home office
- 11.30 **Comments and observations**
Ringmer Parish Council offers no objections to this application.

- 11.31 **LW/21/0342 Upper Wellingham Farmhouse Wellingham Lane Wellingham Ringmer**
Prior approval for a change of use from barns a and b into dwellings
- 11.32 **Comments and observations**
Ringmer Parish Council offers no objections to this application on the proviso that policy 8.3 of Ringmer Neighbourhood Plan will be met.
- 11.33 **LW/20/0485 Upper Lodge Farm The Broyle Ringmer East Sussex BN8 5AP**
Amended scheme - Replacement of existing farmyard manure store with an upgraded facility, repair and upgrade of existing slurry lagoon and associated earth engineering works. NB Proposed new lagoon now deleted from proposal
- 11.34 **Comments and observations**
Ringmer Parish Council objects to this application on the grounds of a shared drive. Ringmer Parish Council found it hard to comment further, due to the lack of a Traffic Management Plan.
12. **REPORTS BY LEAVE – NOT FOR DISCUSSION OR DECISION**
- **Plashett Park Farm** – it was reported that a permitted development application is due to be considered by Lewes District Council, as this is permitted development this means that Parish Council is not a statutory consultee.
 - **Harrisons Lane** – residents had expressed their concern over the level of traffic especially during school run and asked for the possibility of making it a one-way system.
 - **Bishops Lane** – it has been reported that this is in a terrible state and is caving in.
 - **Wildflower Ringmer** – reported the butchering of the verges and requested this be on the next possible G&A agenda as unfortunately the next meeting agenda has already been published.
 - **Highways** – it was confirmed that the state of Bishops Lane had been reported.
 - **Ringmer Safety Road Group** – next meeting is on evening of Monday 28th June 2021 via Teams. All residents welcome to log in.

13. **ITEMS FOR REFERRAL TO NEXT AGENDA OR APPROPRIATE COMMITTEE**

13.1 Permitted development application at Plashett Farm.

14. **URGENT ITEMS AT THE CHAIRMAN'S DISCRETION REQUIRING DECISIONS WHICH CANNOT BE HELD OVER UNTIL THE NEXT MEETING**

14.1 There were no urgent items which could not be held over until the next meeting.

There being no further business, the meeting closed at 8.57pm

Date of next meeting, Thursday 15th July 2021, 7.00pm in the Parish Rooms

Below

Appendix 1 – Cllr Johnny Denis report

Appendix 2 – Payment's list

Appendix 1

Councillor Updates; Johnny Denis & Emily O'Brien

East Sussex County Council

As a new councillor I've been taking part in multiple induction sessions as well as the annual statutory meeting – their first in real life for over a year.

Attended lead member (Cllr Claire Dowling) of transport mtg on Monday in support of a petition received by the Council to set 20mph as default speed limit for residential areas. Cllr Dowling declined WHO advice and Govt guidelines.

This means that Ringmer should it wish to progress individual schemes, it will be without the support of policy.

There have been many complaints about the management of the traffic associated with Earwig Corner works. East Sussex Highways and East Sussex County Council are not accepting any responsibility, preferring to put this on the developer carrying out the works. Sussex police are have now agreed to look in on Glynde to reduce speeding and aggression from angry drivers, while LDC have put up more notices asking people to turn off engines when in stopped at the lights going into and coming back from Lewes.

Swimming Pool – ESCC will shortly be putting a revised offer out for expressions of interest. Likely to be for 20+ years lease. Consideration likely to be in September. Some residents have expressed an interest in responding.

Lewes District Council

The most significant news is that the Issues and Options paper, a part of the government's Local Plan Process will be published on or around the 9th of July and be open until 3rd of September. This is not about sites per se so not about specific developments – that'll come later. The Parish Council and individuals and organisations should be encouraged to respond to this consultation.

Many significant planning apps coming Ringmer's way. Noted that the large ones are outside the plan boundary.

Residents have noted a good quality verge and kerb repair in Shepherds Way I believe this to be the result of residents bringing it to councillors' attention.

Residents have also drawn dangerous parking on the East End of Bishops Lane. Sussex police have agreed to add it to their visiting list.

Apologies from Cllr Emily O'Brien who has been working on the local plan development issues. She thinks she might have fallen off the invite list so would appreciate the Office team checking so that she is invited in future.

Contacts

Johnny.denis@lewes.gov.uk or Emily.obrien@lewes.gov.uk for District business

Or Cllr.johnny.denis@eastsussex.gov.uk for County business

Appendix 2

VN	Date	Bank	Description	Supplier	Total
36	04/05/21	Barclays Current	Grass Cutting Village Green - Contracted	Ringmer Property Maintenance	700.00
37	04/05/21	Barclays Current	Pond Maintenance - Contracted	Ringmer Property Maintenance	44.00
38	04/05/21	Barclays Current	Grass Cutting Anchor Field - Contracted	Ringmer Property Maintenance	115.00
39	04/05/21	Barclays Current	Grass Cutting Fingerpost Field - Contracted	Ringmer Property Maintenance	122.00
40	04/05/21	Barclays Current	Churchyard Maintenance - Contracted	Ringmer Property Maintenance	305.00
41	04/05/21	Barclays Current	Rushey Green Grass Cutting - Contracted	Ringmer Property Maintenance	90.00
42	04/05/21	Barclays Current	Grass Cutting Various	Ringmer Property Maintenance	92.00
43	04/05/21	Barclays Current	Gote Lane Grass Cutting - Contracted	Ringmer Property Maintenance	38.00
44	04/05/21	Barclays Current	Broyle Lane/Close Grass Cutting	Ringmer Property Maintenance	143.00
45	04/05/21	Barclays Current	Jubilee Garden Maintenance	Ringmer Property Maintenance	58.97
46	04/05/21	Barclays Current	Jubilee Garden Maintenance	Ringmer Property Maintenance	116.67
47	04/05/21	Barclays Current	Vicarage Way & Grounds Maint	Ringmer Property Maintenance	75.83
48	04/05/21	Barclays Current	Grass Cutting Allotments	Ringmer Property Maintenance	160.00
49	04/05/21	Barclays Current	Grass Cutting Potatoe, Neaves, Norlington, Gorington, Peplabye, Mair, Sleson	Ringmer Property Maintenance	140.00
35	04/05/21	Barclays Current	Pump Play Area Inspections - Monthly	Lewes District Council	234.00
63	04/05/21	Barclays Current	Email Licence	1&1 IONOS Ltd	1.20
64	05/05/21	Barclays Current	Email Licence	1&1 IONOS Ltd	4.20
65	05/05/21	Barclays Current	Email Licence	1&1 IONOS Ltd	4.20
66	05/05/21	Barclays Current	Email Licence	1&1 IONOS Ltd	4.20
67	05/05/21	Barclays Current	Email Licence	1&1 IONOS Ltd	4.20
68	05/05/21	Barclays Current	Email Licence	1&1 IONOS Ltd	4.20
69	05/05/21	Barclays Current	Email Licence	1&1 IONOS Ltd	4.20
70	05/05/21	Barclays Current	Email Licence	1&1 IONOS Ltd	4.20
71	05/05/21	Barclays Current	Email Licence	1&1 IONOS Ltd	4.20
72	05/05/21	Barclays Current	Email Licence	1&1 IONOS Ltd	4.20
73	05/05/21	Barclays Current	Email Licence	1&1 IONOS Ltd	4.20
74	05/05/21	Barclays Current	Email Licence	1&1 IONOS Ltd	4.20
75	05/05/21	Barclays Current	Email Licence	1&1 IONOS Ltd	4.20
76	05/05/21	Barclays Current	Email Licence	1&1 IONOS Ltd	4.20
52	08/05/21	Barclays Current	Disbursements	Surrey Hills Solicitors LLP	2,400.00
50	11/05/21	Barclays Current	HR Review	Spotlight HR Consultants Ltd	10.00
51	11/05/21	Barclays Current	IT Package	123 Connect	246.00
60	11/05/21	Barclays Current	Stationary/sundries	Staples	138.06
62	11/05/21	Barclays Current	Office 365	Micosoft Office	79.99
57	12/05/21	Barclays Current	Stamps	Post Office	85.00
79	13/05/21	Barclays Current	Misc	Amazon	3.99
77	14/05/21	Barclays Current	Email Licence	1&1 IONOS Ltd	4.20
78	14/05/21	Barclays Current	Email Licence	1&1 IONOS Ltd	4.20
108	17/05/21	Barclays Current	Misc	AO Ltd	434.00
58	19/05/21	Barclays Current	IT General Consultancy	Ian Edwards	490.00
86	20/05/21	Barclays Current	Clerk SLCC Membership	SLCC	289.00
106	20/05/21	Barclays Current	Stationary/sundries	Staples	20.20
107	20/05/21	Barclays Current	Stationary/sundries	Staples	37.77
54	21/05/21	Barclays Current	Clerk Salary	Karen Crowhurst	
55	21/05/21	Barclays Current	Finance Admin Salary	Carol Hodgson	
56	21/05/21	Barclays Current	General Admin Salary	Gail Metcalfe	
61	22/05/21	Barclays Current	Broadband services	Zen internet	1,012.31
80	24/05/21	Barclays Current	Leaving gift	Gordon Sims	79.60
87	24/05/21	Barclays Current	Professional charges	Surrey Hills Solicitors LLP	28.98
88	24/05/21	Barclays Current	Professional charges	Surrey Hills Solicitors LLP	600.00
109	24/05/21	Barclays Current	Mobile Phone	Tesco	330.00
					18.19

					11,636.97
--	--	--	--	--	------------------